

Iranian Journal of Electrical and Electronic Engineering

Journal Homepage: ijeee.iust.ac.ir

Research Paper

Reducing the Sidelobe Level of Reflectarray Antennas Using Phase Perturbation Method

M. Khalaj-Amirhosseini*(C.A.) and M. Nadi-Abiz*

Abstract: Phase Perturbation Method (PPM) is introduced as a new phase-only synthesis method to design reflectarray antennas so as their sidelobe level is reduced. In this method, only the reflected phase of conventional unit cells are perturbed from their required values. To this end, two approaches namely the conventional Optimization method and newly introduced Phase to Amplitude Approximation (PAA) method are proposed. Finally, a reflectarray antenna is designed and fabricated to have a low sidelobe level and its performance is investigated.

Keywords: Reflectarray Antennas, Phase Perturbation Method, Sidelobe Level Reduction, Phase to Amplitude Approximation, Optimization.

1 Introduction

REFLECTARRAY Antennas (RAAs) are widely investigated and used in recent years. In RAAs, the phases of the reflection coefficient of their unit cells are adjusted so that the radiated wave becomes maximum at a specified direction [1-6]. Reflectarrays are sometimes a replacement for phased arrays and small reflector antennas. Two important challenges of RAAs are their narrow bandwidth and that their Side Lobe Level (SLL) is almost out of control. Reduction of Side Lobe Level (SLL) of the array antennas is of much importance in all applications such as radars and wireless communication. Many references have presented some methods to broaden the bandwidth of RAAs [1, 5, 6]. Also, recently some ones have made efforts to control the SLL of RAAs [7-11].

Two well-known ways to reduce the SLL of an active fixed of array number of radiating elements (transmitters) are using nonuniform excitation of the radiating elements [12-14] and optimally arranging the radiating elements with nonuniform [15-18]. Utilizing these spaces two ways simultaneously, can be another way as well [19].

There are two ways to reduce the SLL of RAAs; using aperiodic unit cells [7] and controlling reflected amplitude of the unit cells [8-11]. In [8], the amplitude is controlled by the power loss in some resistors embedded in the unit cell. In [9], a slot is removed from the ground plane to waste some part of the incident wave. In [10], the cells rotate the polarization of the incident wave so as some of the incident wave is ignored as the cross polarized wave. In [11], the amplitude is controlled by amplifiers placed behind the unit cells. In view of these works, one can infer that the existing methods for controlling amplitude of reflection are either dissipative or complicated.

In this letter, a new method is proposed to reduce the SLL of RAAs without need to dissipative or complicated unit cells, but only using lossless unit cells. In this method, the reflected phases of conventional unit cells are perturbed from their normal values. In fact, the presented Phase Perturbation Method (PPM) can be considered as a new method for phase-only array synthesis [20, 21] to reduce the SLL of RAAs. The suitable values of phase perturbations are obtained through two approaches; Optimization as a conventional method and newly introduced Phase to Amplitude Approximation (PAA) method. Finally, a RAA is designed and fabricated to have SLL equal to -20dB and its performance is investigated.

2 Phase Perturbation Method

Fig. 1 shows the typical configuration of a RRA in

Iranian Journal of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, 2020. Paper first received 16 February 2019, revised 24 July 2019, and accepted 25 July 2019.

^{*} The authors are with the School of Electrical Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST), Tehran, Iran. E-mails: khalaja@iust.ac.ir and m_nadi@alumni.iust.ac.ir.

Corresponding Author: M. Khalaj-Amirhosseini.



Fig. 1 Typical configuration of reflectarray antennas.

which a feed antenna located at the point $(0, y_f, F)$, illuminates a $D \times D$ aperture consisting of $N \times N$ square unit cells of dimension d_0 . The *mn*-th unit cell has a situation whose center is specified by x_{mn} and y_{mn} . Also, the four following parameters are involved in the problem.

$$R_0 = \sqrt{y_f^2 + F^2} \tag{1}$$

$$R_{mn} = \sqrt{x_{mn}^2 + (y_{mn}^2 - y_f)^2 + F^2}$$
(2)

$$\theta_f(x, y) = \frac{R_0^2 + R_{mn}^2 - (x_{mn}^2 + y_{mn}^2)}{2R_0 R_{mn}}$$
(3)

$$\theta_{e}(x, y) = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{F}{R_{mn}}\right)$$
(4)

It is well known that the far field radiation of a RRA in arbitrary direction (φ , θ) on a sphere, can be expressed as follows.

$$E(\theta,\varphi) = E_0 \cos^{q_e}(\theta) \times \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{mn} \exp(j(\phi_{mn} - k_0 R_{mn})) \times \exp[jk_0 \sin(\theta)(x_{mn} \cos(\varphi) + y_{mn} \sin(\varphi))]$$
(5)

where A_{mn} is the amplitude of the *mn*-th unit cell as follows.

$$A_{mn} = \frac{|\Gamma_{mn}|}{R_{mn}} \cos^{q_f} (\theta_f) \cos^{q_e} (\theta_e)$$
(6)

in which $\Gamma_{mn} = |\Gamma_{mn}| \exp(j\phi_{mn})$ is the reflection coefficient of the *mn*-th cell. Also, q_f and q_e are related to transmitting and receiving radiation beams of the feed and the cells, respectively.

As it is seen from (5) and (6), there is no practical way to control the SLL of RAAs because the magnitude of the reflection coefficients are nearly constant, i.e., $|\Gamma_{mn}| \cong 1$. Hence, we propose to perturb the phases of the unit cells, i.e. ϕ_{mn} , so that SLL decreases while the

direction of maximum radiation, i.e. ($\varphi = \pi/2$, $\theta = \theta_m$), is not changed.

The required phases of reflection coefficient of the unit cells for having maximum radiation in the direction $(\varphi = \pi/2, \theta = \theta_m)$ along with a low SLL, is proposed as follows.

$$\phi_{mn} = (k_0 R_{mn} - k_0 y_{mn} \sin(\theta_m) + \phi_0) + \delta \phi_{mn}$$
(7)

where ϕ_0 is an arbitrary constant phase. The value in parenthesis is the same value for conventional design of RAAs [1-3]. Here, $\delta\phi_{mn}$ is the added phase perturbation for the *mn*-th unit cell, we have proposed to reduce the SLL of designed RAA.

It is worth noting that the introduced Phase Perturbation Method neither waste the incident power of feed, like in [8-10], nor need complex and costly structure, like in [11].

3 Findig Phase Perturbations

Here, two approaches are introduced to find the phase perturbations of the unit cells:

- 1. Optimization,
- 2. Phase to Amplitude Approximation (PAA).

In optimization approach, the error function of the optimization process is defined as follows.

$$Error = \left| \frac{|E'_{\max}|}{|E_{\max}|} - \left| SLL^{(d)} \right| \right|$$
(8)

where $\text{SLL}^{(d)}$ is the desired SLL. Also, $|E_{\text{max}}|$ and $|E_{\text{max}}|$ are the main and second maxima of the radiation pattern, respectively. This error function should be subject to a constraint to limit the broadening of 3-dB beamwidth. Here, we have used the "trust-region-reflective" optimization algorithm which is available in MATLAB environment.

In newly introduced PAA approach, one can consider the following first order approximation for small value of $\delta\phi_{mn}$.

$$\exp(j\,\delta\phi_{mn}) = \cos(\delta\phi_{mn}) + j\,\sin(\delta\phi_{mn}) \cong \cos(\delta\phi_{mn}) \quad (9)$$

It is understood from (9) that the phase perturbation of each unit cell, can approximately play the role of a part of the amplitude of that unit cell. In fact the term $\exp(j\delta\phi_{mn})$ can be considered as $\cos(\delta\phi_{mn})$ which its value is less than one. Hence, one can use this approximation $|\Gamma^{(d)}_{mn}| = \cos(\delta\phi_{mn}) \cong \exp(j\delta\phi_{mn})$ where $|\Gamma^{(d)}_{mn}/$ is the desired reflection coefficient magnitude of the *mn*-th unit cell. Therefore, one can use the following identity

$$\delta\phi_{mn} \cong -\cos^{-1}(|\Gamma_{mn}^{(d)}|) \tag{10}$$

The desired reflection coefficient $|\Gamma^{(d)}_{mn}|$ is related to the desired amplitude of the *mn*-th unit cell, $A^{(d)}_{mn}$, by the following relation obtained from (6).

$$|\Gamma_{mn}^{(d)}| = k \frac{R_{mn}}{\cos^{q_f}(\theta_f) \cos^{q_e}(\theta_e)} A_{mn}^{(d)}$$
(11)

in which k is a normalizing constant so that $\max(|\Gamma^{(d)}_{mn}/) = 1$. The desired amplitude, $A^{(d)}_{mn}$, could be obtained by any arbitrary synthesis method like the Chebyshev pattern [12], which has been adopted in this work.

4 Design Examples

Here we design a RAA at frequency $f_0=10$ GHz, with length of D = 225 mm=7.5 \Box_0 consisting of $N \times N = 15 \times 15$ unit cells of dimension $d_0 = 15$ mm = $0.5\lambda_0$. The parameters q_f and q_e are assumed to be equal to one. Hence, a small antenna such as a microstrip patch is used as feed at height of F = 2D = 450 mm and $y_f = 0$. The maximum radiation angle is supposed to be $\theta_m=0$, i.e. boresight.

Figs. 2-4 show the calculated radiation patterns of designed RAA supposing desired SLL to be -16dB, -20dB and -25dB, respectively. These figures have been obtained by exploiting MATLAB MathWork. It is seen that SLL of designed RAAs has been reduced from -13.8dB for $\delta\phi_{mn} = 0$ to -16dB, -20dB and -25dB, by using phase perturbations obtained by optimization approach. The PAA approach is not able to reduce SLL less than about -20dB. Besides, it is seen that reduction of SLL leads to increase the beamwidth of the main lobe as expected.

The phase perturbation of designed RAA with SLL of -20dB, obtained by optimization and PAA approaches are shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. One sees that although they are not the same but are analogous but somewhat different. In fact, PAA method is suitable for little phase perturbation and consequently for little SLL reduction. The advantage of PAA method is its capability to give us the phase perturbation directly via (10) and (11).

5 Simulation and Fabrication

To have phase distribution according to (7), a configuration called phoenix [4] is chosen for the unit cells as shown in Fig. 7. It contains a metal circle of variable radius r_3 lying between two constant circular metals, all of them over an air gap of thickness $h_1=8.0$ mm and an FR4 substrate of thickness h=1.6 mm and $\varepsilon_r = 4.3$. Also, the radii r_1 and r_2 are fixed to 1.5mm and 7.0mm, respectively.

Fig. 8 shows the phase of the reflection coefficient of the chosen unit cell versus r_3 at frequency 10GHz, obtained by simulation with CST. The total coverage of phase is about 360° which suffices for our designed RAAs.

The designed RAA with SLL of -20dB employing the optimization approach is fabricated and measured as shown in Fig. 9. Fig. 10 shows the resulting radiation pattern of this designed RAA. It is seen that the SLL has

been reduced from about -13.2dB to -19.6dB, which in near to our design.



Fig. 2 Calculated radiation pattern of designed RAA with SLL = -16 dB.



Fig. 3 Calculated radiation pattern of designed RAA with SLL = -20 dB.



Fig. 4 Calculated radiation pattern of designed RAA with SLL = -25 dB.



Fig. 5 Phase perturbation of designed RAA with SLL = -20 dB, obtained by optimization method.



Fig. 6 Phase perturbation of designed RAA with SLL = -20 dB, obtained by PAA method.





Fig. 8 Phase of the reflection coefficient of the phoenix unit cell versus r_3 at frequency 10 GHz.



Fig. 9 Fabricated antenna designed for SLL = -20 dB and the measurement setup.



Fig. 10 Actual radiation pattern of designed RAA with SLL = -20 dB with and without phase perturbation.

6 Conclusion

Phase Perturbation Method (PPM) was introduced as a new phase-only synthesis method to reduce the sidelobe level of reflectarray antennas. To this end, two approaches namely Optimization and Phase to Amplitude Approximation (PAA) were proposed. The PAA approach is capable to reduce SLL slightly but the optimization approach is capable to reduce SLL significantly. However, the advantage of PAA method is its capability to give us the phase perturbation directly. Finally, a reflectarray antenna was designed and fabricated utilizing phoenix type unit cells to have a low sidelobe level about -20 dB and its performance was investigated.

References

- [1] J. Huang and J. A. Encinar, *Reflectarray antennas*. J. Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2008.
- [2] P. Nayeri, A. Z. Elsherbeni, and F. Yang, "Radiation analysis approaches for reflectarray antennas," IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine, Vol. 55, No. 1, pp. 127–134, Feb. 2013.
- [3] M. Moeini-Fard and M. Khalaj-Amirhosseini, "Nonuniform reflect-array antennas," *International Journal of RF and Microwave Computer-Aided Engineering*, Vol. 22, No. 5, pp. 575–580, Sep. 2012.
- [4] C. Tian, Y. C. Jiao, and W. Liang, "A broadband reflectarray using phoenix unit cell," *Progress in Electromagnetics Research Letters*, Vol. 50, pp. 67– 72, 2014.
- [5] M. E. Bialkowski and K. H. Sayidmarie, "Bandwidth considerations for a microstrip reflectarray," *Progress in Electromagnetics Research B*, Vol. 3, pp. 173–187, 2008.
- [6] M. Khalaj-Amirhosseini, "Principles of ideal wideband reflectarray antennas," *Progress in Electromagnetics Research M*, Vol. 58, pp. 57–64, 2017.

- [7] A. Capozzoli, C. Curcio, A. Liseno, and G. Toso, "Phase-only synthesis of flat aperiodic reflectarrays," *Progress in Electromagnetic Research*, Vol. 133, pp. 53–89, 2013.
- [8] H. Yang, X. Chen, F. Yang, Sh. Xu, X. Cao, M. Li, and J. Gao, "Design of Resistor-loaded reflectarray elements for both amplitude and phase control," *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, Vol. 16, pp. 1159–1162, 2016.
- [9] M. Khalaj-Amirhosseini, "Slotted cells as amplitude-phase cells for reflectarray antennas," *Progress in Electromagnetics Research Letters*, Vol. 81, pp. 15–19, 2019.
- [10]X. Zhang, F. Yang, Sh. Xu, and M. Li, "A reflectarray element design with both amplitude and phase control," in *International Conference on Electromagnetics in Advanced Applications* (*ICEAA*), Verona, Italy, pp. 1049–1051, 2017.
- [11]M. E. Białkowski, A. W. Robinson, and H. J. Song, "Design, development, and testing of X-band amplifying reflectarrays," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, Vol. 50, No. 8, pp. 1065–1076, 2002.
- [12]F. I. Tseng and D. K. Cheng, "Optimum scannable planar arrays with an invariant sidelobe level," in *Proceedings of IEEE*, Vol. 56, No. 11, pp. 1771– 1778, Nov. 1968.
- [13]K. Y. Kabalan, A. El-Hajj, and M. Al-Husseini, "Modified Chebyshev planar arrays," *Radio Science*, Vol. 37, No. 5, pp. 1–9, 2002.
- [14]N. S. Alshdaifat and M. H. Bataineh, "Optimizing and thinning planar array using chebyshev distribution and improved particle swarm optimization," *Jordanian Journal of Computers and Information Technology*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 31–40, Dec. 2015.
- [15]H. Oraizi and M. Fallahpour, "Nonuniformly spaced linear array design for the specified beamwidth/sidelobe level or specified irectivity/sidelobe level with coupling considerations," *Progress in Electromagnetic Research M*, Vol. 4, pp. 185–209, 2008.
- [16] M. Khalaj-Amirhosseini, G. Vecchi, and P. Pirinoli, "Near-Chebyshev pattern for nonuniformly spaced arrays using zeros matching method," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, Vol. 65, No. 10, pp. 5155–5161, Oct. 2017.

- [17] M. Khalaj-Amirhosseini, "Design of nonuniformly spaced arrays using zeros matching method," *International Journal of RF and Microwave Computer-Aided Engineering*, Vol. 28, No. 9, p. e-21490, Nov. 2018.
- [18] M. Khalaj-Amirhosseini, "Design of nonuniformly spaced antenna arrays using Fourier's coefficients equating method," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, Vol. 66, No. 10, pp. 5326–5332, Nov. 2018.
- [19]F. Yan, P. Yang, F. Yang, and T. Dong, "Synthesis of planar sparse arrays by perturbed compressive sampling framework," *IET Microwaves, Antennas & Propagation*, Vol. 10, No. 11, pp. 1146–1153, 2016.
- [20] A. Chakraborty, B. N. Das, and G. S. Sanyal, "Determination of phase functions for a desired onedimensional pattern," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, Vol. 29, No. 3, pp. 502– 506, May 1981.
- [21]M. Khalaj-Amirhosseini, "Phase-Only power pattern synthesis of linear arrays using autocorrelation matching method," *IEEE Antennas* and Wireless Propagation Letters, Vol. 18, No. 7, pp. 1487–1491, Jul. 2019.



M. Khalaj-Amirhosseini was born in Tehran, Iran in 1969. He received the B.Sc., M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in Electrical Engineering from the Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST), Tehran, in 1992, 1994, and 1998, respectively. He is currently a Professor with the School of Electrical Engineering, IUST. His current research interests

include electromagnetics, microwaves, antennas, radio wave propagation, and electromagnetic compatibility.



M. Nadi was born in Mashhad, Iran, in 1990. He received B.Sc. degree with honors, in Electrical Engineering from Sadjad University of Technology, Mashhad, in 2013, the M.Sc. degree in Electrical Engineering from Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST), Tehran, Iran, in 2017. His research interests include antenna theory

and technology, microwave passive structures, phased arrays and printed reflectarrays.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee IUST, Tehran, Iran. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) license (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>).